Effects of *Namya Kanom Jeen* powder extracts on antioxidative and anti-inflammatory properties
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ABSTRACT

**Background:** Spices and herbs are known to have antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties. We studied their properties of *Namya Kanom Jeen* (*NKJ*), a spicy soup (Southern Thailand recipe) with water and ethanol (50% and 95%) extracts.

**Methods:** This study aimed to assess functional properties of *NKJ* powder extract using *in vitro* model. These functional properties were antioxidant, \(\alpha\)-amylase inhibition, and anti-inflammatory properties. Antioxidant activities were determined using free radical scavenging activity (DPPH) and Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP). Anti-inflammation effect was studied by measuring nitric oxide (NO) production inhibition on RAW264.7 macrophage cells after being exposed to lipopolysaccharide (LPS).

**Results:** Water extract of *NKJ* powder demonstrated the highest activity in anti-inflammatory and antioxidant property by DPPH radical scavenging activity when compared with ethanol extract.

**Conclusion:** The combined effect of several bioactive compounds within *NKJ* powder extracts may ameliorate the oxidation and inflammation.
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INTRODUCTION

Aerobic metabolism of cells is an oxidative process which often creates free radicals. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) as well as reactive nitrogen species (RNS) are produced [1] partly as protection mechanisms. The free radicals produced can have harmful effects on human health. At low or moderate concentration, ROS and RNS provide a counter-active, beneficial effect to cellular response and immune function. At high levels, they may impose oxidative stress to cells and tissues since they act as mediators promoting the damage to cellular components, including lipids, proteins, and DNA [2-3]. Prolonged oxidative stress triggers chronic inflammation in cells and tissues leading to increased risks of metabolic syndrome diseases, such as cancer, diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases [4].

Antioxidants are compounds that neutralize free-radicals and other pro-oxidative intermediates of cells. These actions can potentially reduce the progression of inflammation and its related diseases. This is accomplished by two principle mechanisms of action which are mainly involved. First, there is a chain-breaking mechanism, by which the primary antioxidant donates electrons to the free radicals present in the systems. Second, there is the removal of ROS/RNS initiators (secondary antioxidants) by quenching of the chain-initiating catalyst [5]. An antioxidative compound can block the inflammation process by inhibiting the nitric oxide (NO) released by suppressing inducible expression of nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and/or its activity; NO reduction is frequently mediated by NF-κB inhibition [6].

Phytochemicals from plants, such as herbs and spices, are rich in antioxidant activity [7]. Some of these phytochemicals demonstrate the ability to reduce inflammation and are potential candidates for intervention or preventative treatments of metabolic disorders or metabolic syndromes [8]. There has been widespread investigation and research surrounding food properties that can delay oxidation and reduce inflammation. Accordingly, there have been many studies conducted on individual plants or extracted compounds [9]. However, the combined effects of daily food consumption and whole food (mixture) have not been studied in indigenous Southern Thai foods, which are known to be rich in herbs and spices. Thus, it has been speculated that food bioactive components may work synergistically to exert the benefits of health functions via multiple pathways [10].

The extraction of bioactive compounds from food or plants sources uses organic solvents (ethanol, methanol, acetone, and diethyl ether). In this study, we are particularly interested in water extraction, since we consume food in presence of water. Bioactive recovery mainly depends on the type of solvent and the extraction methods being adopted [11]. Therefore, comparisons between these different solvent extractions are necessary in order to determine the relative differences in their activities.

Although various herbs and spices have been long reported to individually exhibit antioxidative and anti-inflammatory properties, evidence is still lacking on whole foods, which are traditionally consumed as a whole dish. The positive effects of some specific Thai food in in vitro and in vivo models have been reported. Tuntipopipat and colleague discovered that red curry paste ethanol extract decreased NO production and iNOS expression, while also suppressing COX-2, TNF-α, and IL-6 in lipopolysaccharide-activated murine macrophage cell line [12]. Prangthip and co-workers reported how Thai Red Curry Paste (TRCP) extracts decrease baseline blood glucose, liver enzyme activities, hyperinsulinemia, serum malondialdehyde, and ROS in diabetic rats [13].
However, the greater challenge is discovering the probable effects of indigenous food that could potentially relieve a progressive effect of degenerative diseases via antioxidative and anti-inflammatory properties. Based on our earlier screening, 12 Southern Thai foods, NKJ (Namya Kanom Jeen extract) ranked higher in biological properties [14]. These foods were the basis of this study. Thus, the objectives of this study were to determine various types of solvent on NKJ extracts in terms of phenolic contents, antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory properties.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chemicals and reagents**

2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), 2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine (TPTZ), ferric chloride hexahydrate (FeCl₃•6H₂O), gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, p-coumaric acid, chlorogenic acid, ferulic acid, capsaicin, and L-Nitro-arginine were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corporation (St. Louis, Missouri, USA). Folin-Ciocalteu’s phenol reagent, sodium acetate, hydrochloric acid, sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃), and 99.5% absolute ethanol were purchased from Merck Millipore (Darmstadt, Germany). Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (RPMI medium), lipopolysaccharides (LPS), phosphate buffered saline (PBS), fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin, streptomycin, and 0.25% trypsin-EDTA were purchased from Gibco (Carlsbad, California, USA). All chemicals and reagents were of analytical or HPLC grade.

**Sample preparation: Namya Kanom Jeen (NKJ)**

Namya Kanom Jeen (NKJ) consisting of 34.2% (w/w) of boiled and deboned fish, 1.0% dry hot chili (*Capsicum frutescens* Linn.), 1.4% garlic (*Allium sativum* Linn.), 0.2% turmeric (*Curcuma Longa* Linn), 1.0% lemongrass (*Cymbopogon citratus* Stapf), 0.5% pepper (*Piper nigrum* Linn.), 1.5% shallot (*Allium ascalonicum* Linn.), 0.7% fermented shrimp paste, 58.25% coconut milk, 0.34% garcinia (*Garcinia Cambogia*), 0.21% salt, and 0.7% kaffir lime (*Citrus hystrix* DC.) leaves. All ingredients were obtained from the Plaza Market at Hatyai, Songkhla Province in 3 batches of cooking process. The cooking method consisted of preparation in a Thai kitchen, following traditional methods modified from Charoenkiatkul and co-workers [15]. The coconut milk was heated to a boil and followed by the addition of ground fish, which was mixed with the curry paste, stirred, and cooked at 75-90°C for 40 min. Salt and garcinia were added, and the dish was topped with kaffir lime leaves.

Five hundred grams of finished NKJ was blended (Panasonic Blender MX 151 SP, Japan) and freeze-dried (0.055 mbar, 12 h, at -40°C, Dura Dry, Dura Freeze Dryer, Canada). The dried samples (moisture content 2.25±0.02%) were milled to fine (20 mesh sieve) powders (3 s, Super Blender, AIKO, China) and stored in plastic bottles with screw caps at -20°C until extraction and proximate analyses.

**Proximate analyses of freeze dried samples**

The proximate analyses: moisture, ash, protein, fat and crude fiber contents [16] and mineral contents (including iron, zinc, sodium, calcium, magnesium, potassium and phosphorus) were determined by modified AOAC 990.08 [16] by using digestion of wet samples with nitric acid,
followed by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometric measurement (ICP-AES, Perkin Elmer, USA).

**Preparation of foods extracts for functional properties**

NKJ powder demonstrated very high contents of fat content; the food samples were first defatted with hexane before extraction (to final content of fats is 2.45 g/100 g dry food sample) with water.

Oil in food matrix can be affected by the method of analysis as antioxidant activity due to its sensitivity to oxidation. Consequently, the process of lipid peroxidation can lead to disturbances in the membrane structure and functionality [17]. Hexane is the preferred solvent to remove the oil; hexane-based processes have been in commercial operation for a long time. For such processes, it is possible to achieve oil yields in excess of 95% with a solvent recovery of over 95%. However, in food production cases, hexane extraction is approved as long as no trace of hexane remains in the final product [18, 19]. Further research should be conducted in order to explore the use of more gentle processes such as supercritical fluid extraction.

Freeze-dried NKJ sample was first extracted with hexane at 1:10 (w/v powder/hexane) ratio before mixing for 30s (Vortex-mixer Genie 2 G560E, Scientific Industries, USA), and sonicated for 15 min (Digital Ultrasonic Cleaner 4820, Blazer, USA). After centrifugation (2,432×g, Hettich Zentrifugen, MIKRO 22R, Buckinghamshire, U.K.), pellets were extracted with hexane again 2 more times. After being left to dry at 30°C (ambient air) for 30 min, each pellet sample was divided in three equal portions for further extraction by 3 solvents (water, 50% and 95% ethanol in water) at dried pellet: solvent ratio of 1:30 (w/v). After being shaken for 1 h ambient at 120 rpm (WiseShake ®, SHO-2D, Wertheim, Germany), each aliquot was centrifuged at 2,432×g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant fractions were evaporated under vacuum (175 mmHg, 45-50°C) for 30 min before being freeze-dried (with the same condition as above) and stored in brown bottles at -20°C until analyzed.

**Antioxidant activities:**

**DPPH radical scavenging property assay**

DPPH was measured from a decoloration of 2,2 diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical of food extracts by the method modified from Brand-William [24] using gallic acid standard solutions (containing 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 µg/ml of gallic acid in distilled water). One hundred µl of food extract samples and a gallic acid standard solutions were individually placed in a 96-well microtiter plate. One hundred µl of DPPH solution (0.2 mM in ethanol) and the mixtures were added and left at room temperature for 30 min in darkness. Absorbance (517 nm) was measured using a microplate reader under a control solution of 100 µl distilled water and 100 µl of 0.2 mM DPPH. Ascorbic acid was used as a positive control. The percentage of radical scavenging ability was calculated using the following equation (1)

\[
\text{Scavenging activity (%) } = \left[ 1 - \frac{A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{control}}} \right] \times 100 \quad \text{Equation (1)}
\]

Where \(A_{\text{control}}\) = absorbance of control reaction
\(A_{\text{sample}}\) = absorbance of the sample extracts
Analyses were performed in triplicates. The sample concentration providing 50% inhibition (IC\textsubscript{50}) was calculated from the graph of inhibition percentage against sample concentration in linear regression.

**FRAP assay**

FRAP assay was determined according to the method of Benzie and Strain [21]. Stock solutions containing 300 mM acetate buffer (pH 3.6), 10 mM 2,4,6-tri[2-pyridyl-s-triazine] (TPTZ) solution in 40 mM HCl, and 20 mM FeCl\(_3\).6H\(_2\)O were prepared. Acetate buffer (25 ml), TPTZ solution (2.5 ml), and FeCl\(_3\).6H\(_2\)O solution (2.5 ml) were then mixed and incubated at 37\(^\circ\)C for 30 min in a warm water bath prior to use. This was referred to as a FRAP solution. Food extract samples were diluted with distilled water to 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 mg/ml concentrations. Thirty \(\mu\)l each of these diluted food extracts was placed in a 96-well microtiter plate. Thirty \(\mu\)l of Trolox standard solutions (5, 10, 25, 50, 100, and 200 \(\mu\)M/ml in ethanol were placed in other empty wells. FRAP solution (270 \(\mu\)l) was added to both food extracts and standard wells, kept for 30 min in darkness, and assayed in triplicate. In this reaction, ferric- tripyridyltriazine (Fe\(^{3+}\)-TPTZ) complex is reduced from ferric (yellow) to ferrous (blue) form. Development of ferrous tripyridyltriazine complex was measured by absorbance at 595 nm using a microplate reader (Microplate reader, Biotek, Power wave X, Winosoki, USA) using Trolox standard curve (\(Y = 0.041x + 0.1124, r^2=0.997\)). The results were expressed as \(\mu\)mole Trolox/g dry crude extract.

**Anti-inflammatory activity and cytotoxicity in macrophage RAW 264.7 cell lines**

NO inhibitory effect was performed in Macrophage Raw 264.7 cells [22]. Macrophage RAW 264.7 cell line was cultured in RPMI medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, Penicillin (100 U/ml), and Streptomycin (100 mg/ml), which were maintained in a humidified incubator with 5% CO\(_2\). RAW 264.7 cells were harvested by scraper and diluted to make a suspension in a fresh medium. The cells were then seeded in 96-well plates (1x10\(^6\) cells/well) and allowed to adhere for 2 h at 37\(^\circ\)C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO\(_2\). The old medium was replaced with 0.1 ml fresh medium containing 1 \(\mu\)g/ml of LPS from *Escherichia coli*, serotype 055:B5. Medium wells containing 0.1 ml food extract with various concentrations in deionized water (1, 10, 100, 1000 \(\mu\)g/ml) were prepared and incubated for 24 h. The positive control were cells with no food extract but with LPS, while the negative control was no food extract or LPS. Nitrite in the culture medium was detected using a reaction with Griess reagent. One hundred \(\mu\)l of supernatant was mixed with 100 \(\mu\)l of Griess reagent and the optical density (OD) was detected at 570 nm. Inhibition (%) of NO production was determined according to equation (2).

Cell viability was also measured using 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thaizoly)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) reagent, which was absorbed into the living RAW 264.7 cells and converted into formazan according to the method of Yamamoto and team [23].

The 96-well plates from above (at a density of 1x10\(^6\) cells per well) were added with 10 \(\mu\)l MTT solution [5 mg/ml in PBS] then incubated at 37\(^\circ\)C with 5% CO\(_2\) for 2 h. The medium was then removed. Isopropanol containing 100 \(\mu\)l 0.04 M HCl was added to dissolve the formazan produced in the cells. Optical density of the formazan solution was measured with a microplate...
reader at 570 nm. Cell survival (%) was calculated according to equation (3). L-Nitro-arginine (LNA) was used as a positive control.

\[
\text{NO Inhibition} (\%) = \frac{[(C-BC) - (S-BS)]}{(C-BC)} \times 100 \quad \text{Equation (2)}
\]

Where C is absorbance of the Control [LPS (+), Sample (−)]; BC is absorbance of the Blank Control [LPS (−), Sample (−)]; S is absorbance of the test sample [LPS (+), Sample (+)]; BS is absorbance of Blank Sample [LPS (−), Sample (+)]

\[
\text{Cell survival} (\%) = \frac{\text{OD sample}}{\text{OD control}} \times 100 \quad \text{Equation (3)}
\]

Where C = Control -- RPMI+ LPS

S = Sample -- Sample + LPS

**α-Amylase inhibition assay**

Inhibition of α -amylase assay was determined by Manaharan’s team [24]. One ml of extract and 0.05 ml 0.02M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.9 with 0.006M NaCl) containing porcine α-amylase (0.5 mg/ml) were mixed and incubated at 25°C for 10 min. Fifty µl of 1% starch solution in 0.02M sodium phosphate buffer was then mixed and the reaction mixture incubated at room temperature for 10 min before stopping with 0.2 mL of dinitrosalicylic acid color reagent. After being incubated in boiling water bath (5 min) and cooled to room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted (1 ml of distilled water) and an absorbance at 520 nm was measured. α-Amylase inhibition activity was expressed as percentage inhibition which was calculated as the following:

\[
\% = \frac{\text{Absorbance of blank} - \text{Absorbance of sample}}{\text{Absorbance of blank}} \quad \text{Equation (4)}
\]

**Total phenolic content (TPC)**

TPC were determined according to Slinkard and Singleton [25]. Food extracts were diluted with distilled water at concentrations of 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. Sample solutions (12.5 µl) and standard solutions (containing 5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 125, r150 and 200 µg/ml of gallic acid in distilled water) were individually placed in a 96- well microtiter plate. Reagent blank was distilled water. Folin-Ciocalteau phenol reagent (12.5 µl) was added to each well and left for 6 min. The calculated Na₂CO₃ solution (125 µl of 7% w/v in distilled water) was mixed in together with 100 µl of distilled water and the mixture allowed to stand for 90 min in darkness and absorbance measured at 760 nm. Gallic acid was used to make standard curve. Each crude extract was analyzed in triplicates and the results expressed in mg of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per g dry crude extract.

**HPLC analysis**

Phenolic acid standards consisted of gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, p-coumaric acid, chlorogenic acid, and ferulic acid. Solutions were made by dissolving 0.01 g of each in 10 ml distilled water. Aliquots of 0.5 ml of each solution were put in 5.0 ml volumetric flasks and made to volume with distilled water. Working solutions were prepared to make individual solution of 1, 5, 12.5, 25, and 50 µg/ml of gallic, protocatechuic, p-coumaric, chlorogenic, and ferulic acids.
Defatted dried crude samples (0.01 g) were solubilized in 2 ml of acid methanol (62.5% methanol: 6 M HCl, 4:1 v/v) and shaken at 70°C for 2 h [26]. A reversed-phase High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) method [27] with modification was used to characterize the phenolic compounds in the extracts. After filtered through a 0.20-µm pore size, a 10-µl aliquot was separated in an HPLC system (Agilent 1200, Waldbronn, Germany) equipped with a diode array detector on a 250 mm×4.6 mm i.d., 5 µm, Eclipse XDB-C18, analytical column (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA).

The mobile phase was purified water with 0.1% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, solvent A), and acetonitrile (solvent B) was at a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min. Gradient elution was performed as the following: from 0 to 5 min, linear gradient from 5 to 9% of solvent B; from 5 to 15 min, 9% solvent B; from 15 to 22 min linear gradient from 9 to 11% solvent B; from 22 to 35 min, linear gradient from 5 to 18% solvent B, and from 35 to 42 min, 18% solvent B. Column temperature was controlled at 40°C.

Hydroxybenzoic acid compounds (gallic acid and protocatechuic acid) were detected at 290 nm and hydroxycinnamic (chlorogenic acid, p-coumaric acid, and ferulic acid) at 325 nm.

For the separation of capsaicin according to the method used by Othman’s team [28], by HPLC we used isocratic program composing of 50% solvent A (0.1% TFA in water) and 50% solvent B (acetonitrile) at flow rate 1 ml/min, temperature set up at 30°C. A reverse phase 250 mm × 4.6 mm i.d., 5 µm, Eclipse XDB-C18, analytical column (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and detected at 280 nm.

**Statistical analysis**
All analyses were carried out in triplicates and reported as means ± standard deviation. Data were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and statistical significance with Duncan’s multiple range test (P < 0.05).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Chemical composition of NKJ**
On 100 g dry basis, freeze-dried NKJ powder showed 2.25±0.02 g moisture, 24.33±0.11 g protein, 51.96±0.11 g fat, 8.86±0.05 g ash, 1.67±0.30 g dietary fiber and 12.60±0.01 g carbohydrate. Iron, zinc, sodium, calcium, magnesium, potassium and phosphorus were 45.54±0.74, 16.19±0.00, 12,762.94±102.57, 1,494.99±0.12, 1,063.44±8.71, 45.58±0.74, and 2,656.93±26.78 mg/kg dry sample respectively.

**Antioxidant activities:**

**DPPH radical scavenging property**
Free radical scavenging capacity (DPPH) data for defatted NKJ extracted with water, 50% ethanol and 95% ethanol are shown in Table 1. The NKJ extracts free radical scavenging (DPPH) activity were highest in water soluble extract 68.34±5.11%. Accordingly, IC_{50} of DPPH values of NKJ water soluble extract was the lowest (85.50±0.71 µg/g dry crude extract), followed by 50% and
95% ethanol extracts. As a result, the DPPH activities from the result obtained clearly revealed that scavenging properties increased with water as the solvent used. Thus, in the food studied, the hydrophilic components appeared to dominate free radical scavenging ability as compared with the more hydrophobic component (water insoluble). Food components that may exert scavenging activity such as ascorbic acid present in chili [29] and soluble organosulfur compounds are present in garlic [30], which are some of the major herbs used.

### Table 1 Antioxidant properties of NKJ extracted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethanol extraction</th>
<th>% scavenging (DPPH activity) (at 1 mg crude extract/ml)</th>
<th>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; of DPPH (µg/g dry crude extract)</th>
<th>FRAP (µmole Trolox Equivalent/g dry crude extract)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0% ethanol (Water)</td>
<td>68.34 ± 5.11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>85.50 ± 0.71&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.82 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% ethanol</td>
<td>25.27 ± 1.52&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>252.53 ± 10.99&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.00&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% ethanol</td>
<td>13.39 ± 1.23&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>258.50 ± 9.19&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.96 ± 0.05&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data are present as mean ± standard deviation of 3 replicates. Values in the same column followed by the same lowercased letter are not significantly different by Duncan’s Multiple-Range Test (p-value < 0.05).

**Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power - FRAP**

FRAP or the ability to reduce Fe (III) to Fe (II) are shown in Table 1. In this study, NKJ extracted with 95% ethanol exhibited the highest reducing activity (3.96±0.05 µmole TE/g dry crude extract, Table 1). There was no significant difference between NKJ extracted with water and 50% ethanol.

In contrast, Liu and their colleagues [31] reported a reversed order of activities of tea (Camellia sinensis) leaves, i.e., that polyphenolic and antioxidant activities were in the following order (in mM FeSO₄/g dry weight): ethanol extract (6.7) > n-butanol extract (4.7) > chloroform extract (4.2) > water extract.

**α-Amylase inhibition activity**

The inhibitory effect on α-amylase enzyme activity were all high for the three solvent extracts ranging from 67.39±1.8% to 91.91±0.65% as shown in Table 2. 95% ethanol extract was highest in α-amylase inhibition (92%) followed by water extract (87%). (2S, 3R)-Hydroxyl citric acid (HCA) compound in garcinia has been reported to have pancreatic α-amylase and intestinal α-glucosidase inhibitory effect [32].

**Anti-inflammatory property of NKJ extracts**

Production of pro-inflammatory mediator, NO, in LPS-stimulated RAW264.7 cells was monitored with treatments of NKJ extracts. Cell viability data indicated > 80% viability (Figure 1A), demonstrated that NKJ extracts had no adverse cytotoxicity effect on RAW264.7 cells. NO inhibition was significant but differed significantly in degree of inhibition with water extract being most effective and 95% ethanol extract the least (Figure 1B). Table 2 showed that the water extract was lowest in IC<sub>50</sub>, 0.003 µg/g dry crude extract, whereas 50% and 95% ethanol extracts...
were 0.424 and 0.838 µg/g dry crude extract, respectively. This result paralleled with antioxidant activities by DPPH radical scavenging in IC$_{50}$ (Table 1, but not proportionally) demonstrated that the water-soluble fraction was lowest in IC$_{50}$. Figure 1B also demonstrated relative increase in NO inhibition with all extracts, particularly with the water extracts showing the strongest increase reaching 100% inhibition at 1 mg/ml.

Table 2 α-Amylase inhibition (%), NO production inhibition (IC$_{50}$), total phenolic contents and some type of phenolic compound of NKJ extract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethanol extraction</th>
<th>% α-Amylase inhibition</th>
<th>Inhibition of NO production (IC$_{50}$, µg/g dry crude extract)</th>
<th>Total phenolic contents (mg GAE/g dry crude extract)</th>
<th>Gallic acid (µg/g dry crude extract)</th>
<th>Proto-catechuic acid (µg/g dry crude extract)</th>
<th>Capsaicin (µg/g dry crude extract)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0% ethanol (Water)</td>
<td>87.37 ± 0.75$^{a}$</td>
<td>0.003 ± 0.000$^{a}$</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.24$^{c}$</td>
<td>23.225 ± 8.545$^{a}$</td>
<td>63.31±1.47$^{c}$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% ethanol</td>
<td>67.39 ± 1.80$^{b}$</td>
<td>0.424 ± 0.043$^{b}$</td>
<td>18.24 ± 0.32$^{b}$</td>
<td>65.450±2.590$^{b}$</td>
<td>13.31±1.55$^{b}$</td>
<td>353.12±10.52$^{b}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% ethanol</td>
<td>91.91 ± 0.65$^{a}$</td>
<td>0.838 ± 0.096$^{c}$</td>
<td>24.63 ± 0.81$^{a}$</td>
<td>9895.75±159.93$^{c}$</td>
<td>39.79±0.82$^{b}$</td>
<td>815.14±31.60$^{b}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data are present as mean ± SD of 3 replicates. Values in the same column followed by the same lowercased letter are not significantly different by Duncan’s Multiple-Rage Test ($p$-value < 0.05).

Figure 1 Cell viability (%) (A) and inhibition of NO production (%) (B) on LPS-induces RAW264.7 macrophage cells at various concentration of NKJ water/ethanol extracts.

Total phenolic content, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties

Total phenolic contents (TPC) of NKJ extracts in water, 50% ethanol, and 95% ethanol varied considerably (Table 2), with 95% ethanol extract highest of TPC (24.63±0.81 mg GAE /g dry crude extract) and water extract the lowest TPC (2.00±0.24 mg GAE /g dry crude extract) with significant difference. This reversal trends with radical scavenging (DPPH) property but aligned
with reducing (FRAP) property (Table 1). For example, the antioxidant activity by DPPH was only 13.39±1.23% in 95% ethanol extract with the highest TPC and water extract exhibited the highest inhibition of DPPH with value of 68.34±5.11% (at 1 mg crude extract/ml) where TPC was the lowest.

Total phenolic content might have relationship with antioxidant activity in some plants or food sources but not all of TPC related to antioxidant activity [33]. However, the best way to evaluate the level of TPC is based on the combination of the quantity and quality of their chemical structure [34]. In this study, as anticipated, the water polar compounds of phenolic demonstrate high quality of antioxidant activity or non-phenolic substances, which are responsible for antioxidant activity in this food.

The water-soluble compounds such as HCA in *Garcinia Combogia* have effective scavenger activity. This finding agrees with Shivakumar *et al* [35] who reported that hydro-alcoholic (60:40) extracts of *Garcinia Combogia* L. possesses the highest antioxidant activity followed by ethanol, and hexane with DPPH method. On the other hand, the extract of *Garcinia Combogia* L. demonstrated low activity by FRAP assay in all solvent extraction (hydro-alcohol, ethanol and hexane) for that study [35]. These results are unsurprising, as different assays function through different mechanisms and would thereby yield different results depending on the type of antioxidant present in the sample. Accordingly, the FRAP and DPPH assays may or may not correlate depending on the food system being tested.

**Identification of phenolic compounds by HPLC**

Identification of selected phenolic compounds by HPLC in reverse phase conditions revealed how gallic acid was a predominant component in 95% ethanol (by several orders of magnitude). In contrast, for the crude water extract, gallic acid, and protocatechuic acid (PCA), there was no capsaicin found (Table 2). A previous study reported how PCA demonstrated the potential to be an antioxidative activity by both chelating metal transition ions and by scavenging free radicals via donating hydrogen atom or electron [36].

In this study, the PCA in water extract might play a role on anti-inflammatory properties in water extract of *NKJ*. However, it is likely there are other anti-inflammatory compounds not accounted for in this case that could have a more significant inhibitory effect. More study is warranted.

Inflammatory response is a complex phenomenon involving numerous mediators, a number of which are potentially affected by polyphenols, mostly in culinary herbs and spices [37]. There are two possible cellular pathways, including arachidonic dependent pathways and arachidonic independent pathways [38]. Arachidonic dependent pathways are via the action of cyclooxygenase (COX) enzymes [39]. Apigenin from parsley has been shown to be related to this mechanism that might stop the inflammation process [40]. Arachidonic independent pathways can involve phenolic compounds. Peroxisome proliferator activated receptors (PPARs), NOS, and NF-κB are involved in the regulation of the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-8 [38]. Some polyphenols can inhibit the pro-inflammatory NF-κB pathway such as curcumin, a main bioactive compound in turmeric [41]. Curcumin has been focused on for its anti-inflammatory properties and cancer prevention by detoxifying enzymes, preventing DNA damage, and decreasing mutations and tumor formation [42].
Many ingredients used in Thai foods contained antioxidant and anti-inflammatory substances that have been said to relieve oxidative stress [12]. Our finding suggests that bioactive compounds such as curcumin from turmeric (used in NKJ) can similarly inhibit the inflammation process in the arachidonic independent pathway, which in turn reduces oxidative stress.

CONCLUSION

NKJ contains several bioactive water-soluble ingredients such as piperine from black pepper (*Piper nigrum* Linn), HCA from *Garcenia Combogia*, and bioactive peptide from fish. The ameliorating effects of oxidation and inflammation are attributed to the combined effects from these bioactive ingredients. The present study has demonstrated that aqueous and ethanol extract of NKJ (defatted) exerted antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities in *in vitro* model. The crude water extracts of NKJ which contained the highest amount total phenolic exhibited relatively lower antioxidant activity but showed a high potential effect on inflammatory activity.

List of Abbreviations: *Namya Kanom Jeen* (NKJ), reactive oxygen species (ROS), reactive nitrogen species (RNS), nitric oxide (NO), inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI), Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP), lipopolysaccharides (LPS), optical density (OD), Total phenolic content (TPC), High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC), Free radical scavenging capacity (DPPH), Hydroxyl citric acid (HCA), gallic acid equivalent (GAE), protocatechuic acid (PCA).
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